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1. Introduction
   1. Role of the deliverable

This document is the first version of the description of the use cases proposed by the Turkish consortium. It may be up-dated depending on the further details and requirements we get from our industrial use case providers.

* 1. Structure of the document

This document is organized as follows:

Chapter 1 introduces the document.

Chapter 2 describes for each use case: the scope and motivation, the approach and the available resources (corpora).

Annex 1 lists for each use case the annex documents and associated data deliverables, so called “corpora”:

* + D1.4.2 Corpus for Turkish Use Cases (Public)
  + D1.4.3 Corpus for Turkish Use Cases (Private)
  1. Terms, abbreviations and definitions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Abbreviation | Definition |
| RDF | Resource Description Framework |
| WP | Work Package |
| UC | Use Case |
| BPMN | Business Process Monde & Notation |
| ReqIF |  |
| RMF |  |
| SBVR |  |
| IPA |  |

1. Use Case Description

The use cases are provided by UNIT, Havelsan, Hisbim and KoçSistem companies.

UC-TR-01 Production of a proposal in response to an IPA Invitation To Tender

UC-TR-02 Collaborative production of a proposal for an IPA project

UC-TR-03 Synchronization of ReqIF/Clafer models from requirement specifications

UC-TR-04 Requirement Engineering for System Modelling

UC-TR-05 Synchronous Business Process Design with Use Cases

Use Case Contribution table is shown below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Contributions |
| UNIT | ReqIF/Clafer’s (Feature Modeling) documentation (UC-TR-03)  Requirement Engineering for System Modelling (UC-TR-04)  Synchronous Business Process Design with Use Cases (UC-TR-05) |
| KOCSISTEM | ReqIF/Clafer’s (Feature Modeling) documentation (UC-TR-03)  Synchronous Business Process Design with Use Cases (UC-TR-05) |
| HISBIM | Production of a proposal in response to an IPA Invitation To Tender (UC-TR-01)  Collaborative production of a proposal for an IPA project (UC-TR-01) |
| HAVELSAN | Requirement Engineering for System Modelling (UC-TR-04) |

* 1. UC-TR-01 Production of a proposal in response to an IPA Invitation To Tender

BNB’s main field of activity is developing proposals and implementation of the proposals of IPA, WB and local development agency projects in a multitude of fields. Preparing a first response to an ITT is requires both a methodical and thorough analysis of an ITT document and extensive knowledge on the previously generated information and methodologies of the company preparing the response.

Development and use of a well-defined model to streamline the production of the response will increase efficiency of preparation of the document as well as improving its quality. This use case will also perform as a smaller scale introduction to the UC-TR-02

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| UC-TR-01 | Production of a proposal in response to an IPA Invitation To Tender (ITT) |
| Versioning Info | V1.0.0 dated 28-Sep-2012 |
| Description | Defining and implementing a base model that will be used as basis for analysing an ITT producing a response draft quickly and consistently |
| Actors | Business Developers, Field experts (Varying per requirements of tender), proposal production teams |
| Assumptions | IPA ITT documents are assumed to follow PRAG guidelines used as a basis for the produced model.  Minor consistency problems are assumed to be correctable.  ITT document is obtained or can be converted without significant errors to a document format supported by ModelWriter. |
| Steps | ITT document is obtained from official source.  ITT document is verified to be or corrected to supported document formats  A predefined model consistent with input and the desired output is selected  ITT is parsed nad a proposal draft is auto generated by the ModelWriter for review and improvement |
| Variations (optional) | Multiple models may be required to create output for different type of proposals and sectors even though ITT structure remains totally consistent with the model |
| Non-functional (optional) | (none) |
| Issues | Structural problems and of the ITT that may be corrected during Q&A phase must be accounted for. |

* 1. UC-TR-02 Collaborative production of a proposal for an IPA project

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| UC-TR-02 | Collaborative production of a proposal for an IPA project |
| Versioning Info | V1.0.0 dated 28-Sep-2012 |
| Description | A larger scale use case of proposal draft production using Terms of Reference (ToR) as well as Instructions to Tenderers (ITT) as inputs to the same proposal model |
| Actors | Business Developers, Field experts (Varying per requirements of tender), proposal production teams, Proposal manager |
| Assumptions | IPA ITT and ToR documents are assumed to follow PRAG guidelines used as a basis for the produced model.  Minor consistency problems are assumed to be correctable.  ITT document and ToR are obtained or can be converted without significant errors to a document format supported by ModelWriter. |
| Steps | ITT document and ToR are obtained from official source.  Documents are verified to be or corrected to supported document formats  A predefined model consistent with inputs and the desired output is selected  Documents are parsed and a proposal draft is auto generated by the ModelWriter for review and improvement  Collaborators are defined to the system  Knowledge bases to be utilized by collaborators are defined to the system  Rest of the steps as described in 3.1 |
| Variations (optional) | Multiple models and/or sub-models may be used to produce a more complex proposal |
| Non-functional (optional) | (none) |
| Issues | (none) |

* 1. UC-TR-03 Synchronization of ReqIF/Clafer models from requirement specifications

This Use Case aims at applying ModelWriter on Clafer’s feature models to generate documentation for domain models, architectural templates and product variants.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| UC-TR-03 | Synchronization of ReqIF/Clafer models from requirement specifications |
| Versioning Info | V1.0.0 dated 14-May-2013 |
| Description | Show that the ModelWriter’s artefacts can be fruitful for synchronization of ReqIF/Clafer’s feature models and required documentation. In this way, the architects/developers ought not to reproduce the documentation due to changes or inconsistencies (dependencies and constraints between features in specification) in feature models. |
| Actors | Architect, developer |
| Assumptions | (none) |
| Steps | * From requirements specification, ModelWriter creates a ReqIF/Clafer representation * The ReqIF/Clafer model is generated and verified using Alloy analyser.   Alloy analyser can find counter-examples which show inconsistencies or conflicts in feature model. |
| Variations (optional) | (none) |
| Non-functional (optional) | Interpretation of first-order logic from natural language can be important (we consider industrial validations and checking). |
| Issues | It is expected that using ModelWriter we will have models from ReqIF/Clafer documents which include desired rules of developer in natural language. So it is also expected that ModelWriter can interpret natural language text, e.g. in SBVR (Semantic of Business Rules and Vocabulary) format, to generate its model. |

* 1. UC-TR-04 Requirement Engineering for System Modelling

This Use Case aims at demonstrating the feasibility, utility and usability of the ModelWriter (Text & Model-Synchronized Document Engineering) concept for easing requirement-model consistency. System Modeling addresses use of models to conceptualize and construct systems in business and IT development. These models can be extended using [functional decomposition](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Functional_decomposition), and can be linked to [requirements](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Requirement) models for further systems partition. As the requirements and documents play main role for the systems, in this case study, we are going to target the gap between the requirements and models for system modelling using ModelWriter capabilities.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| UC-TR-04 | Requirements Engineering for System Modeling |
| Versioning Info | V4.0.0 dated 24-March-2015 |
| Description | * Demonstrate that the ModelWriter concept can be usefully applied on System design / Requirement generation activities. * Demonstrate and measure possible gains in productivity:   + quicker initialization of system modeling using an initial set of requirements;   + quicker writing and updating of the synchronized requirement documentation;   + accuracy (number of needed requirement not generated from the model)   Consistency (no outdated information in requirement documentation). |
| Actors | System Modelling Languages, Methodologies, and Standards, e.g. SysML, IDEF0, and MARTE |
| Assumptions | * Eclipse-based System Modeling tools for SysML such as OBEO SysML designer can be used   Non-sensitive simplified models and documents must be drawn from real cases at the beginning of the project |
| Steps | * The Use Case will show how system models can be used to generate a relevant set of system’s textual requirements, and vice versa: how system diagrams can be generated from system initial set of textual requirements. * The Use Case will allow to clearly define the kinds of requirements that can automatically be generated from the various system diagrams concurrently used for the modeling activity. * The gap or differences between the requirements that can be generated and the set of system requirements usually used by the designer will be studied.   The Use Case will demonstrate that model and requirement documentation can later be synchronized and updated when models change. |
| Variations (optional) | (none) |
| Non-functional (optional) | Process view can be important (to take into account industrial constraints, validations steps etc.) |
| Issues | Integration of semantic parsers within requirement authoring environment should be strongly reactive to enable quick user appropriation and self-adaptation to Model writer semantic capacities. |

* 1. UC-TR-05 Synchronous Business Process Design with Use Cases

Business processes can be described with diagrams, e.g. BPMN diagrams, or as text. Use cases are a text-based notation. They are semiformal: a business process is expressed as a sequence of steps and each step is presented in a natural language. We aim to synchronize diagram-based and text-based notations and provide required tool.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| UC-TR-05 | Synchronous Business Process Design with Use Cases |
| Versioning Info | V1.0.0 dated 17-May-2013 |
| Description | Show that the ModelWriter’s semantic parser can extract required elements (e.g. activities, actors etc.) for business process model from use cases to generate automatic design documentation. Also ModelWriter’s mechanism for transforming model-to-text can be applied in this case study to generate formally specified use case documents from business process models. |
| Actors | System Analyst, SW designer and developer |
| Assumptions | Ontological based transformation from model-to-text and vice versa. |
| Steps | This case study will show that use case documents can be converted to related business process models and vice versa. |
| Variations (optional) | (none) |
| Non-functional (optional) | (none) |
| Issues | Dynamically created actors and actor metamorphosis |
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